

RG fixed point tensors and factorisation in 2D CFT and ~~holographic tensor networks~~

LING YAN HUNG, YMSC, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY 16th Sept, 2023

Work done in collaboration with :

Lin Chen, Ruoshui Wang, Haochen Zhang, Kaixin Ji, Xiangdong Zeng, Exact Holographic Networks From Topological Orders arXiv:2210.12127 + Lin Chen, Gong Cheng, Zheng-Cheng Gu, Yikun Jiang, Bingxin Lao (I, II to appear) + ongoing + A continuation of : Arpan Bhattacharya, LYH, Yang Lei, Wei Li, Charles Melby-Thompson, JHEP 04 (2019) 170, JHEP 05 (2019) 118, JHEP 01 (2018) 139, JHEP 08 (2016) 086

Gabriel Wong, LYH *Phys.Rev.D* 104 (2021) 2, 026012

Lin Chen, Xirong Liu LYH

Phys.Rev.Lett. 127 (2021) 22, 221602, JHEP 09 (2021) 097, JHEP 06 (2021) 094

ExU YITP Holography, Gravity and Quantum Information



Many body entanglement and holographic theories

AdS/CFT says entanglement is geometry

Ryu-Takayanagi Formula: (2006)



 $S_{EE} =$ 4G

Tensor network is a geometrization of entanglement. It is explicitly local.

Tensor network is a framework to construct models that realise these ideas



Brian Swingle (2012)

Overview

- Fixed point of tensor renormalisation group (TRG) /tensor network renormalisation (TNR)
- CFT factorisation and entanglement brane boundary condition
- Tensor network from 3D TQFT, RG operator, Holographic Tensor Network and 2D fixed points

Checking it numerically in Ising theory a) shrinking condition functions

- Holographic Tensor network for Liouville Theory (?)
- Outlook

- b) recovering the closed string spectrum from the open correlation

TRG/ TNR fixed point and CFT

Levin, Nave (2007); Gu, Levin, Wen (2008); Evenbly, Vidal (2015) ; Evenbly (2017); Yang, Gu, Wen (2017)

- Many classical statistical models adopt tensor network representation (see Prof. Nishino's lecture last Friday and Prof. Meurice's talk yesterday)
- It is well know that at the critical point, there is a very efficient way of extracting CFT data.
 that is performing TRG/TNR and look for the fixed point:



TRG/ TNR fixed point and CFT

Levin, Nave (2007); Gu, Levin, Wen (2008); Evenbly, Vidal (2015); Evenbly (2017); Yang, Gu, Wen (2017)



We can extract CFT data from the fixed point tensor:

the thermodynamic limit

- bond dimensions, more states in the spectrum recovered.
- There should be a CFT interpretation of the fixed point tensor



In general the bond dimensions of each tensor is kept at finite. The recovery of spectrum only approximate — only the low lying states match well with the exact CFT data. With higher





TRG/ TNR fixed point and CFT

The Closed correlation point of view:

The Closed Picture: This tensor is related to closed string correlation functions? Yang, Gu, Wen (2017) ; Ueda, Yamazaki (2023) arXiv: 2307.02523

picture courtesy 2307.02523

But it could not (yet) reproduce the following computations — I believe, for reasons that the topology does not quite work out...









TRG/ TNR fixed point and CFT The open correlation point of view:

The open correlation function point of view: let open correlation function tiles a planar surface — Z. Gu, G. Cheng (2015/16? unpublished — the hole didn't close for fixed conformal boundary conditions. The spectrum on the cylinder was very far from the CFT results... gave up)







What do we do with holes ? We want them to shrink to nothingness this gives us the original closed string partition function. This closing a hole business is related to this question of factorisation of CFT. *Shrinkable bc* = *"Entanglement Brane Boundary Condition" in RCFT* G. Wong, LYH 2020



CFT Factorisation and Entanglement Brane Boundary Conditions

Wong, LYH 2020

If holes can close then the idea of Gu and Cheng might be on the right track ???

When computing entanglement entropy, one would have to introduce a boundary between the splitter intervals.



The condition one would naively thought should be imposed on this boundary is that when we take overlap of the states, the holes should close. We therefore propose that the entanglement brane boundary condition (in the closed string channel) to be the "0" Ishibashi state in a diagonal RCFT

Ishibashi state $|e\rangle\rangle = \sum c_a |a\rangle$

 c_a

quantum dimension of sector a

 $S_{a0} = d$





2D CFT and 3D TQFT From integrable lattice models to fixed points of RG operator and holographic tensor network



RSOS integrable models from "strange correlates"

Feiguin, Trebst, Ludwig, Troyes, Kitaev, Wang, Freedman 2007; Aasen, Fendley, Mong J. Phys. A; Math. Theor. 2016; 2020 ; Bal, Williamson, Vanhove, Bultinck, Haegeman, Verstraete 2017; Lootens, Vanhove, Verstraete 2019;

• An interesting observation:

Take the PEP tensor network representation of the ground state $|\Psi_a^{LW}\rangle$ of a Levin Wen model (= Turaev-Viro formulation of TQFT) defined on a time slice with some triangulation with lattice constant "a"



Radius OŤ sphere goes to infinity





Gu, Levin, Swingle, Wen PRB 2009; Buershaper, Aguado, Vidal PRB 2009; (More recently — the form we follow closely, is presented in Bultinck, Marien, williamson, Sahinoglu, Haegeman, Verstraete Annals of physics 2017; Williamson, Bultinck, Verstraete 2017)

F-symbols



 $a \longrightarrow b \\ y \longrightarrow x = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ x & y & z \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_c d_z}} (F_y^{abx})_{cz}^* = \begin{bmatrix} z & y & x \\ & y & z \end{bmatrix}$

triangulation of a sphere into tetrahedron

S





RSOS integrable models from "strange correlates"

Feiguin, Trebst, Ludwig, Troyes, Kitaev, Wang, Freedman 2007; Aasen, Fendley, Mong J. Phys. A; Math. Theor. 2016; 2020; Bal, Williamson, Vanhove, Bultinck, Haegeman, Verstraete 2017; Lootens, Vanhove, Verstraete 2019;

• An interesting observation:

Pick some mysterious direct product state $\langle \Omega_N |$ and take the overlap with $|\Psi_a^{LW}\rangle$ i.e. $\langle \Omega_N | \Psi_a^{LW} \rangle$. This overlap can be made to match exactly the partition function of well known families of integrable models!

Ising model as an example



But why does it work????

categorical symmetry holographic relation between CFT and TQFT





RG operator, holographic tensor networks and their fixed points

What boundary state $\langle \Omega_N |$ produces a CFT?

related to another wave-function on a different lattice using the pentagon equation and orthogonality condition of the 6j symbols:

Bal, Williamson, Vanhove, Bultinck, Haegeman, Verstraete 2017; Chen, Zhang, Wang, Ji, Zeng, Shen, LYH 2022



• For a given lattice on which the ground state wave-function is defined, it can be

Here, we make the observation that recursively repeating this coarse-graining produces a collection of F's that geometrically fills up a Euclidean AdS3 and looks like a MERA. It is in fact an analytic holographic tensor network !



Finding Fixed Points of the RG operator **Constructing** $\langle \Omega_N |$ as a PEP state

Chen, Zhang, Wang, Ji, Zeng, Shen, LYH 2022

- symmetric TRG
- it using a PEP tensor network PEPS i.e.



Picture courtesy https://tensornetwork.org/

The direct product states can be understood as a seed state that could flow to the fixed points of the RG operator so that it recovers some scale invariant theory (including CFT and TQFT and more) in 2d

Contracting the boundary conditions with the RG operator can be formulated as a TRG process described previously (except the process now explicitly preserves topological symmetry) — therefore finding eigenstates of the RG operator is equivalent to finding fixed point tensors of this topologically

• If $\langle \Omega_N |$ is at the fixed point, we expect it to have entanglement rather than being a direct product state. But since we are interested in local CFT's, the entanglement of $\langle \Omega_N |$ should be local. We should construct

> Auxiliary legs – the bond dimension of a fixed point tensor is either 1 or infinity — since combining tensors somehow lead to the same tensor

> > Physical legs – Levin lattice degrees of freedom



Finding Fixed Points of the RG operator Constructing $\langle \Omega_N |$ as a PEP state - solutions corresponding to 2D TQFT

Chen, Zhang, Wang, Ji, Zeng, Shen, LYH 2022





Finding Fixed Points of the RG operator Where are the CFT's ?

They can be viewed as phase transition points between the topological TQFT transitions the RG operator gets confused — could give a CFT fixed points

k	A1/A0— theoretical	Our numerics
2	0.643594	0.60-0.61
3	0.697043	0.67-0.68
4	0.719471	0.69-0.70
5	0.731426	0.71-0.72
6	0.738656	0.72-0.73

Start with an interpolation of topological boundary fixed points, and use the RG operator on it repeatedly. When far from the phase transition points they flow to either one of the boundary fixed points. At phase

Fixed Point Boundary Corresponding to CFT?



this is proportional to the open string (boundary operator) fusion coefficient in a diagonal rational eft characterised by the tensor category! see Fuchs, Runkel Schweigert 2002 and series of papers

Also, it is well-known that conformal blocks transform as $\int_{y}^{x} F_{d;x,y}^{abc} = \sum_{y} F_{d;x,y}^{abc}$ (where a,b,c,d,x,y are the labels of the families of primary representations of the)

Therefore ~~~





Fixed Point Boundary Corresponding to CFT?



The Turaev -Viro TQFT would dictate that the conformal boundary condition is "closeable" in exactly the same way as the proposed "entanglement brane boundary condition". Topological defects can pass through this boundary as if they are transparent. LYH, Wong 2020; Brehm, Ruunkel 2022



Factorising CFT partition function



The Turaev-Viro TQFT construction can describe continuous field theory because the fixed point tensors can be sewed together and finally the holes can be contracted — the connection between lattice construction and continuous theory can be understood in this light. This picture can be generalised to nondiagonal RCFT.



Cylinder recovering the closed spectrum of the CFT



each primary sector) the following spectrum — seems pretty decent!

s	(Δ_{TF})	(H, Δ_{Num})		
0	(0.125, 0.127)	(1.0, 1.035)	(2.125, 2.259)	(3.0, 3.253)
1	(1.125, 1.129)	(2.0. 2.09)	(3.125, 3.184)	(4, 3.724)
2	(2.0, 2.0)	(2.0, 2.02)	(2.125, 2.211)	(2.125, 2.211)
3	(3.125, 3.230)	(3.125, 3.330)		

• These half circles at the boundary allow them to be part of the index (ie don't

• Take Ising as an example again. We recover (keeping only 4-5 descendants at

Remark: Non-diagonal Theory Alternative tensor network representation of the Levin-Wen ground state

Lootens, Fuchs, Haegeman, Schweigert, Verstraete, 2021

Bimodule categories $\mathbf{2.1}$

At this point, we have illustrated that MPO symmetries of PEPS define two fusion structures (more correct terminology: monoidal structures) corresponding to horizontal fusion of MPO products, and vertical fusion related to MPO scale transformations. They thus encode the algebraic data of two fusion categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} . A particular prescription for such MPO tensors can be constructed by also invoking the algebraic data associated with a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ -bimodule category \mathcal{M} (see appendix B)². In particular, the pulling-through condition, the zipper condition, the two recoupling identities and the two pentagon equations coincide with the different pentagon equations of \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{M} if we make the following identifications:

This gives explicit prediction of contractible boundary conditions for non-diagonal theories. The boundaries are labeled by objects in the module category, and the weights is the quantum dimension of these objects.



Relation with p-adic tensor network

W. Li, C. Melby-Thompson, LYH 2019

 Tensor Network that recovers the p-adic CFT and reproduces (some) parts of the AdS/CFT dictionary and a perturbative $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$



	p-adic Tensor Network	Turaev-Viro type tensor network
tensor components	structure coefficients of CFT	open structure coefficients of CF
algebraic structure of tensors	Frobenius/associative algebra	(1)-fusion catego
CFT dimensions	(quasi) 1-dimension CFT	2 dimensional Cl
Bulk dimensions	(quasi) 2 dimensions	3 dimensions



Liouville theory Reconstruction from the open structure coefficients



Open Liouville Theory Putting together the closed partition function from the open one

Open structure coefficients of Liouville theory has been considered.

$$ilde{C}_{Q-eta_{3},eta_{2},eta_{1}}^{\sigma_{3},\sigma_{2},\sigma_{1}}=rac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_{0}}\Gamma_{b}(Q)}\sqrt{rac{|S_{b}(2eta_{3})|^{2}}{|S_{b}(2\sigma_{2})|^{4}}}\sqrt{ ilde{C}(eta_{3},eta_{2},eta_{1})}igg\{ eta_{eta_{3}}^{\sigma_{3},\sigma_{2},\sigma_{1}}igg\} igg\}$$

$$\int_{Q/2+i\mathbb{R}^+} d\mu(\delta_1) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_2 & \delta_1 \end{array} \right\}_b \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 & \delta_1 & \beta_2 \\ \alpha_4 & \alpha_5 & \gamma_2 \end{array} \right\}_b \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \delta_1 \\ \alpha_4 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 \end{array} \right\}_b = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta_1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_4 \end{array} \right\}_b$$

$$\int_{Q/2+i\mathbb{R}^+} d\mu(\alpha_s) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_s \\ \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_t \end{array} \right\}_b^* \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_s \\ \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_t \end{array} \right\}_b^* \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_s \\ \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_t \end{array} \right\}_b$$

This orthogonality suggests that the Liouville shrinkable boundary condition is given by:







Open Liouville Theory Putting together the closed partition function from the open one

Some recent discussions of the TQFT dual of the Liouville theory

Collier, Eberhardt, Zhang 2023; Belin, de Boer, Nayak, Sonner 2023 (mentioning the simplicial formulation that is different from the current discussion); Ubaldo, Perlmutter 2023

This orthogonality suggests that the Liouville shrinkable boundary condition is given by:



$$d\mu(lpha) = dlpha M(lpha), \qquad M(lpha) := |S_b(2lpha)|^2.$$



Outlook

- Large C CFTs
- Supersymmetric generalisations include fermions
- Can we read off something about black holes, or anything useful from this holographic tensor network?
- Higher d CFTs Ising CFT for example can definitely be constructed in this way, we should construct some more examples Chen, Zhang, Wang, Ji, Zeng, Shen, LYH 2022
- Perhaps not necessarily related to (topological)AdS/CFT? or perhaps it still bear some relation as in the case of 3d (i.e. boundary condition does break the topological invariance to some extent.., and we need to work with irrational TQFT...)



3+1 D TQFT and 2+1 D CFT Example: 4D Z2 Dijkgraaf - Witten Theory and the Ising model

 Tensor Network Representation of the ground state wave-function of Dijkgraaf-Witten theory:







3+1D DW Theories and RG operator



FIG. 17. Coarse grain the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cube into $1 \times 1 \times 1$ cube.



FIG. 18. Combine $1m\alpha o$ and $2m\alpha o$ to get a bigger tetrahedron $12\alpha o$.





FIG. 19. The first step is to eliminate the vertices like mand to obtain edges like 12. To avoid clutter, we omit the edges connecting 1,2 with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, o, o_1, o_2, o_3$. On the left hand side, there is a vertex m, and there are 16 small tetrahedron $1m\alpha o, 1m\beta o, 1m\beta o_1, \ldots, 2m\alpha o, 2m\beta o, 2m\beta o_1 \ldots$ On the right hand side, there is no m, and there are 8 bigger tetrahedron $12\alpha o, 12\beta o, 12\beta o_1, \ldots$ They are on the two boundaries of a 4D body which consists of eight 4-simplices $12m\alpha o, 12m\beta o, 12m\beta o_1, 12m\gamma o_1, 12m\gamma o_2, 12m\delta o_2, 12m\delta o_3,$ $12m\alpha o_3$.



FIG. 21. The second step is to eliminate the vertices like α . Here we choose to connect vertices 1,3 since in the target coarse grained cubic there is a 13 edge as shown in figure 17. On the left hand side, there is a vertex α , and there are 8 tetrahedra $12\alpha o, 23\alpha o, 34\alpha o, 41\alpha o, 12\alpha o_3, 23\alpha o_3, 34\alpha o_3, 41\alpha o_3$. On the right hand side, there is no α , and there are 4 tetrahedra $123o, 341o, 123o_3, 341o_3$. They are on the two boundaries of a 4D body which consists of four 4-simplices $123\alpha o, 123\alpha o_3, 341\alpha o_3, 341\alpha o.$



FIG. 22. The third step is to eliminate the vertex o and to obtain the edge 17. To avoid clutter, we only show some of the edges connecting o with 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8. On the left hand side, there is a vertex o, and there are 12 tetrahedra 1230, 1430, 2370, 2670, 1260, 1560, 1480, 1580, 4870, 4370, 567o, 587o. On the right hand side, there is no o, and there are 6 tetrahedra 1237, 1267, 1567, 1587, 1487, 1437. They are on the two boundaries of a 4D body which consists of six 4-simplices 12370, 12670, 15670, 15870, 14870, 14370. Combining 123*o* and 237*o* to get the tetrahedron 1237 can be read off from this figure.



Topological solutions = Higher Frobenius Algebra

Wang, Li, Hu, Wan, JHEP 10 (2018) 114, Zhao, Lou, Zhang, Hung, Kong, Tian, 2208.07865



FIG. 23. There are 2 tetrahedra on the left and 3 tetrahedra on the right corresponding to two different triangulations of the boundary. We have $\beta_{\Delta_{0123}}\beta_{\Delta_{1234}} = \alpha_{\Delta_{01234}}^{-1}\beta_{\Delta_{0124}}\beta_{\Delta_{0234}}\beta_{\Delta_{0134}}^{-1}$. The powers of -1 are related to the orientations.



FIG. 24. The blue tetraheron corresponds to the boundary factors β . The pair of 4-simplices on the right hand side corresponds to the 4-cocycles of the DW theory. The black tetrahedron referred to as a "factor" is the analogue of a bubble that is contracted. The equality is based on absorbing this black tetrahedron and is thus the analogue of separability in 2+1 dimensional topological order. In the current model however the factor is equal to unity.

Search for critical point between electric and magnetic boundaries:

Using the same method — we can find the critical temperature of 2+1 D ising model as a phase transition between two of the three Higher Frobenius algebra of the 4D toric code. — to appear soon

3D Ising: bond=1, transition temperature: 0.27-0.28

various values of D. For a discussion see the tex

D	β_c
Ising	0.22165463(8)
0.641	0.38567122(5)
0.655	0.387721735(25)
$\ln 2 = 0.69314718$	0.39342239(8)
1.15	0.4756110(2)
1.5	0.5575303(10)

Thank you very much!